


1.		
Beav.	- <i>Sonchus arvensis</i> L.	0,97 0,53
	- <i>Echinochloa crusgalli</i> (L.)	0,95 0,24
	- <i>Stellaria media</i> (L.) Vill.	0,94 0,27
	- <i>Galium aparine</i> L.	0,90 0,12
	- <i>Galeopsis</i> sp.	0,85 0,91
	- <i>Viola arvensis</i> Murr	0,64 0,94
	sp. – <i>Setaria</i> sp.	0,02 0,99
	- <i>Stachys palustris</i> L.	0,50 0,78
	- <i>Equisetum arvense</i> L.	0,44 0,10

$$y = -0,12x + 49,7;$$

$$R^2=0,56 \quad (1)$$

$$y = 77,1 \times \exp(-0,0058x);$$

$$R^2=0,59 \quad (2)$$



2. (/)							
	24,6	9,4	18,6	23,8	29,8	51,0	34,9
	316	148	251	306	374	528	27,6
	210	52	161	198	252	456	36,2

49,7 / , (1)
(2) – 77,1 / ,
(24,9 /)

100%

25 / . 2.

9,5-45,2 /

(R=0,73).

100 / ²

12 / . 3.

()

[6].

1. ; ., 1990, - 240 . 2.

., 2000. 466 . 3.

(1) 100 / ²,
(2) 38 43 /
().

12 21 / .

10 / .

,
()
?

2002, .1, .92-107. 5.
., 2002, - 457 . 6. Auld B.A.; Tisdell C.A.: Economic thresh-
olds and response to uncertainty in weed control.// Agr. Systems, 1987; .
25. N 3, - . 219-227. 7. Cousens R. simple model relating yield loss to
weed density. Aspects of applied biology, 1985; . 9, - . 239-252. 8.
Robert P. Characterization of soil conditions at the field level for soil
specific management. Geoderma, 1993, 60, . 53-72. 9. Walter A.M.,
Christensen S. & Simmelsgaard S.E. Spatial correlation between weed
species densities and soil properties. Weed Research, 2002. 42, p. 26-38.